

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,618	CHIU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Le Nguyen	2174	

All Participants:

(1) Le Nguyen.

(2) Pavel Pagodin.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-42

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION ENTERED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00am

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

/Steven P. Sax/
Steven P. Sax

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: an examiner's amendment wherein "wherein, if there are two candidate layouts with the least amount of empty space, the layout testing circuit, routine, or application selects the candidate layout with the smallest circumference as the solution layout for that node" will be incorporated into independent claims 15 and 24 and wherein claim 22 and the non-elected claims will be cancelled (claims 1-14 and 34-42).